

**CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION**

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS  
COMPANY,

Petitioner,

v.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

B310811

Commission

Decision No.

D.21-03-001 &

Resolution ALJ-391

ORDER MODIFYING OPINION  
AND DENYING REHEARING

[NO CHANGE IN JUDGMENT]

**THE COURT:**

It is ordered that the opinion filed herein on January 6, 2023, be modified as follows:

1. On page 3, the first full paragraph, the phrase “In 1996, the Legislature created a division within the Commission, later naming it the Public Advocate’s Office” is changed to:

In 1985, the Legislature authorized the creation of a division within the Commission, later named the Public Advocate's Office.

2. On page 5, the first full sentence is changed from "The discovery inquiry, conducted outside any formal proceeding, comprised three data requests and one subpoena" to:

The discovery inquiry, conducted outside any formal proceeding, comprised more than a dozen data requests. We will focus on three data requests and one subpoena.

3. On page 5, the second full paragraph, the phrase "did not use shareholder contributions" is changed to "did not use ratepayer contributions" so the sentence reads:

The point of SCG's production was to show that it did not use ratepayer contributions to fund astroturf groups.

4. On page 5, the third full paragraph is changed to:

However, SCG redacted a name or signature from its response, and the Work Order Authorization itself indicated the work *was* billed to a ratepayer-funded account (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) account 920.0). (SCG later claimed this was an accounting error, which it corrected to FERC 426.4.) The PAO moved the Commission's administrative law judge (ALJ) to compel a further response, which the ALJ granted.

5. On page 16, the penultimate paragraph is changed to the following:

As noted, in 1985 the Legislature authorized creation of the PAO's predecessor, the ultimate purpose of which was "to represent and advocate on behalf of the interests of public utility customers and subscribers within the jurisdiction of the commission." (Stats. 2018, ch. 51, § 39.)

These modifications effect no change in the judgment.

The Public Utilities Commission's petition for rehearing is denied.

		
<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
ROTHSCHILD, P. J.	CHANEY, J.	BENDIX, J.